

Quantization conditions of eigenvalues for semiclassical Zakharov-Shabat systems on the circle

Setsuro Fujiié and Jens Wittsten

ABSTRACT. Bohr-Sommerfeld type quantization conditions of semiclassical eigenvalues for the non-selfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat operator on $\mathbb{S}^1$ are derived using an exact WKB method. The conditions are given in terms of the action associated with $\mathbb{S}^1$ or the action associated with turning points following the absence or presence of real turning points.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$(1.1) \quad P(h)u = \lambda u$$

for the Zakharov-Shabat operator

$$P(h) = \begin{pmatrix} -hD_x & iV(x) \\ iV(x) & hD_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_x = -i\partial/\partial x,$$

where u is a column vector, h is a small positive parameter, λ is a spectral parameter, and V is a real valued analytic function on $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. The eigenvalue problem (1.1) appears in the inverse scattering method for the initial value problem for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation as one half of the Lax pair. It will also be written in the form

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{h}{i} \frac{du}{dx} = M(x, \lambda)u, \quad M(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & iV(x) \\ -iV(x) & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator $P(h)$ is not selfadjoint. To study the spectrum $\text{Spec } P(h)$, let

$$(1.3) \quad P(x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -\xi & iV(x) \\ iV(x) & \xi \end{pmatrix}$$

be the semiclassical symbol of the operator $P(h)$. We define the closure of the set of eigenvalues of $P(x, \xi)$ by

$$\Sigma(P) = \overline{\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^1, \det(P(x, \xi) - \lambda \text{Id}) = 0\}},$$

where $\det(A)$ denotes the determinant of the matrix A . Thus, in our case

$$\Sigma(P) = \mathbb{R} \bigcup i[-V_0, V_0],$$

where $V_0 := \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} |V(x)|$. By Proposition 2.1 below, the spectrum of $P(h)$ is discrete and concentrates on $\Sigma(P)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence, to study its asymptotic form

Date: March 27, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34L40 (primary), 81Q20 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Zakharov-Shabat system, eigenvalues, quantization condition, WKB.

one can assume that the spectral parameter λ belongs to a small neighborhood of $\mathbb{R} \cup i[-V_0, V_0]$.

Before stating our main results, we recall that the roots of $\det(M(x, \lambda)) = 0$, or equivalently,

$$V(x)^2 + \lambda^2 = 0,$$

are called *turning points* of the system (1.2). A zero of order n of $V^2 + \lambda^2$ is called a turning point of order n . When $n = 1$ or $n = 2$, the turning point is said to be simple or double, respectively. When $\lambda = 0$, the simple zeros of V are double turning points. Double (or higher order) turning points also occur when $\lambda = i\mu$ and $\pm\mu$ is a local extreme value of V . There are no real turning points for non-zero real λ , and if $V_1 := \min_{x \in \mathbb{S}^1} |V(x)|$ is strictly positive, then there are no real turning points for $\lambda = i\mu$ with $-V_1 < \mu < V_1$.

To describe the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues in the semiclassical limit, we fix $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \cup i[-V_0, V_0]$ and study the quantization condition of eigenvalues in a small complex neighborhood of λ_0 . The form of the quantization condition depends on whether there are real turning points for λ_0 . We begin with the turning point free case, which is considerably easier. Let $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ denote the disc of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ centered at λ_0 . We then have the following Bohr-Sommerfeld type quantization condition associated with the action integral along $\mathbb{S}^1$ given by

$$I(\lambda) = \int_0^{2\pi} (V(t)^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2} dt.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ if $V_1 = 0$ or $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \cup i(-V_1, V_1)$ if $V_1 > 0$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a function $r_1(\lambda, h)$, analytic with respect to λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and uniformly of $O(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, such that $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ is an eigenvalue of $P(h)$ if and only if*

$$(1.4) \quad 2 \cos(I/h) + r_1(\lambda, h) = 2.$$

In particular, for any small h there is an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad I(\lambda) = 2\pi kh + O(h^2).$$

Next we consider $\lambda_0 = i\mu_0$, $\mu_0 \in (-V_0, -V_1) \cup (V_1, V_0)$, for which there is at least one real turning point. In view of the symmetry of the eigenvalues with respect to the real axis (Lemma 2.3), it is enough to consider $\mu_0 \in (V_1, V_0)$. In this paper, we only consider those $\lambda_0 \in i(V_1, V_0)$ for which the turning points are all simple. This implies that the turning points for $\lambda = i\mu$ with μ close enough to μ_0 stay simple and depend analytically on μ (in what follows, μ is reserved for the complex number defined via $\lambda = i\mu$). For each such μ , there are an even number of turning points $\{x_j(\mu)\}_{j=1}^{2l}$ which, for real μ , are real and ordered by $0 \leq x_1(\mu) < x_2(\mu) < \dots < x_{2l}(\mu) < 2\pi$. Assuming without loss of generality that $V(0) = V_0$, we have that $x_1(\mu_0)$ is positive and $V(x)^2 > \mu_0^2$ for $x_{2j}(\mu_0) < x < x_{2j+1}(\mu_0)$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, with the convention $x_{2l+1} = x_1$. We define two kinds of action integrals $S_j(\mu)$ and $I_j(\mu)$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$, which are real for real μ close to μ_0 , by

$$(1.6) \quad S_j(\mu) = \int_{x_{2j-1}(\mu)}^{x_{2j}(\mu)} (\mu^2 - V(t)^2)^{1/2} dt, \quad I_j(\mu) = \int_{x_{2j}(\mu)}^{x_{2j+1}(\mu)} (V(t)^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2} dt.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $\lambda_0 = i\mu_0$, $\mu_0 \in (V_1, V_0)$, and that the turning points are all simple. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a function $r_2(\lambda, h)$, analytic with respect to*

λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and uniformly of $O(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, such that $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ is an eigenvalue of $P(h)$ if and only if

$$(1.7) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^l S_j/h\right) \left(2^l \prod_{j=1}^l \cos(I_j/h) + r_2(\lambda, h)\right) = 2.$$

In particular, for any small h there are integers $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad I_j(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + k\right)\pi h + O(h^{1+1/l}).$$

This work was first inspired by the paper by Galtsev and Shafarevich [8] who treated the Schrödinger operator with complex potential $\mathfrak{D} = -h^2 d^2/dx^2 + i \cos x$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$. They showed that the spectrum of this non-selfadjoint operator concentrates on a rotated ‘Y’ shape in the semiclassical limit $h \rightarrow 0$ while the set $\Sigma(\mathfrak{D})$ is the half band $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, -1 \leq \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq 1\}$. This fact has also been used by Dyatlov and Zworski [3] to provide a negative example of stochastic stability of resonances in the context of Anosov flows. The numerical range $\Sigma(P)$ of our operator $P(h)$, on the contrary, is included in the real and imaginary axes and the spectrum $\operatorname{Spec} P(h)$ concentrates on the whole numerical range. In this sense $P(h)$ does not exhibit the spectral features typical of a non-selfadjoint operator. Instead, the restriction of the numerical range, which specifies the Stokes geometry near the real axis, allows us to treat general potentials.

To obtain the quantization conditions, we use the exact WKB method along the lines of [6], first introduced by Ecalle [4] and used by Gérard and Grigis [9] to study the Schrödinger operator. An exact WKB solution is a convergent resummation of the WKB asymptotic expansion in a turning point free complex region, and the connections of such solutions in different regions via the Wronskian formula (see §2.2) enable us to get the global asymptotic behavior of solutions which leads to the quantization condition of eigenvalues. The asymptotic property of the exact WKB solution is valid only away from turning points, and this prevents us from computing the Wronskian between two exact WKB solutions whose common region of validity is pinched by two turning points close to each other (of distance $O(h)$). That is why we exclude energies near λ_0 for which V has double or higher order turning points.

The main difference compared with the Schrödinger case is that for our Zakharov-Shabat operator there are two types of turning points, ones of which are the zeros of $V(x) - \mu$ and the others of which are the zeros of $V(x) + \mu$. The phase function of the WKB solutions is a primitive function of the square root of their product $V(x)^2 - \mu^2$ while the amplitude is a function of the fourth root of their quotient (see (2.4)). This means that the same Stokes geometry, determined by the phase function, may produce different so-called transition matrices (describing the connections between WKB solutions in different domains) according to the type of intermediate turning points. However, it turns out that the trace of the transition matrix is essentially unaffected (see Proposition 4.4) which explains why there is no mention in Theorem 1.2 of the type of turning points involved. This is not the case in the recent work by Hirota [11] about the eigenvalue problem for a semiclassical Zakharov-Shabat operator (corresponding to the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation) on the real line, where the type of turning points is reflected in the formulation of the main results. Here we also mention the study of Grébert and Kappeler [10] of the periodic eigenvalues of a Zakharov-Shabat operator in the high-energy regime.

The exact WKB method is reviewed in Section 2, while Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is the content of Section 4. A crucial detail is the computation of structure formulas for the transition matrices, which for Theorem 1.2 is a much more involved and delicate affair. These computations can be found in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We identify $\mathbb{S}^1$ with the fundamental domain $[0, 2\pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, and V with a 2π -periodic function on $\mathbb{R}$. In this context we regard the symbol $P(x, \xi)$ in (1.3) as a function on $T^*\mathbb{R}$ which is periodic in x , and $P(h)$ as an operator acting on vector-valued periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$ belonging to $L^2([0, 2\pi), \mathbb{C}^2)$. As mentioned in the introduction, for small $h > 0$ it suffices to study the case when λ belongs to a small neighborhood of the set of eigenvalues of the symbol $P(x, \xi)$.

Proposition 2.1. *For z in the resolvent set, $(P(h) - z \text{Id})^{-1}$ is a compact operator and $P(h)$ has discrete spectrum. Moreover, if Ω is an open connected set such that $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Sigma(P) = \emptyset$, then $(P(h) - z \text{Id})^{-1}$ is a holomorphic function of $z \in \Omega$ provided that h is sufficiently small.*

Proof. By [12, Theorem 6.29] the first statement follows if we show that $(P(h) - z \text{Id})^{-1}$ is a compact operator for some z in the resolvent set. For such z we have $(P(h) - z)^{-1} \in \Psi^{-1}$ by the calculus, which in view of the theorem of Rellich and Kondrachov (see e.g., [1, Section 6.3]) implies that $(P(h) - z)^{-1}$ is compact on $L^2([0, 2\pi), \mathbb{C}^2)$.

To prove the second statement we shall adapt the arguments of Dencker [2] to our situation. Note that the symbol $P(x, \xi)$ is also the principal symbol of $P(h)$, and that when we regard $P(x, \xi)$ as a function on $T^*\mathbb{R}$ the interior of $\Sigma(P)$ consists of those λ for which $\det(P(x, \xi) - \lambda \text{Id}) = 0$ for some $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we introduce the eigenvalues at infinity,

$$\Sigma_\infty(P) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists (x_j, \xi_j) \rightarrow \infty, \exists u_j \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0 \text{ such that } |P(x_j, \xi_j)u_j - \lambda u_j|/|u_j| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty\},$$

which is easily seen to be closed in $\mathbb{C}$ by taking a suitable diagonal sequence. We now claim that $\Sigma(P) = \Sigma_\infty(P)$ in our case. Indeed, $\Sigma(P) \subset \Sigma_\infty(P)$ by definition. Conversely, let $\lambda \in \Sigma_\infty(P)$, then $|P(x_j, \xi_j)u_j - \lambda u_j|/|u_j| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for some $(x_j, \xi_j) \in T^*\mathbb{R}$ and $0 \neq u_j \in \mathbb{C}^2$. We cannot have $|\xi_j| \rightarrow \infty$ since this would imply that $|P(x_j, \xi_j)u_j - \lambda u_j|/|u_j| > 1$ if j is sufficiently large. Since $\{u_j/|u_j|\}_j$ and $\{V(x_j)\}_j$ are also bounded, we find by restricting to a subsequence and passing to the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\xi - \lambda & ic \\ ic & \xi - \lambda \end{pmatrix} u = 0,$$

where $|u| = 1$ and c belongs to the set of values of V . But then $\lambda \in \Sigma(P)$ which proves the claim.

Next, using the weight $m(x, \xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ we introduce the symbol classes $S(m^k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, of matrix valued $p \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2))$ such that

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)\| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} m(x, \xi)^k \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$$

where $\|A\|$ denotes the norm of the matrix A . Then $P \in S(m)$. Using the Frobenius norm which satisfies $\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_F$, one easily checks that $\|P(x, \xi)^{-1}\| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-1}$

when $|\xi| \gg 1$. If $z_1 \notin \Sigma(P)$ we thus find by [2, Proposition 2.20] that¹ $P(h) - z_1 \text{Id}$ is invertible for sufficiently small h , so we can define

$$Q(h) = (P(h) - z_1 \text{Id})^{-1}(P(h) - z_2 \text{Id}), \quad z_1 \neq z_2,$$

and by the calculus, the symbol of $Q(h)$ is in $S(1)$, i.e., the symbol and all its derivatives are bounded. We take $z_1 \notin \Omega$ which is possible by assumption. Now,

$$\Sigma(Q) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : (\zeta z_1 - z_2)/(\zeta - 1) \in \Sigma(P)\},$$

so $\zeta \in \Sigma(Q)$ if and only if $\zeta = (z - z_2)/(z - z_1)$ for some $z \in \Sigma(P)$. Note that $\zeta \neq 1$ since $z_1 \neq z_2$. If $\Omega_1 = \{(z - z_2)/(z - z_1) : z \in \Omega\}$, then Ω_1 is an open connected set such that $\bar{\Omega}_1 \cap \Sigma(Q) = \emptyset$. By [2, Proposition 2.19] it follows that $(Q(h) - \zeta \text{Id})^{-1}$ is holomorphic in $\zeta \in \Omega_1$ for h sufficiently small. Since the resolvents of $P(h)$ and $Q(h)$ are related by

$$(Q(h) - \zeta \text{Id})^{-1} = (1 - \zeta)^{-1}(P(h) - z_1 \text{Id}) \left(P(h) - \frac{\zeta z_1 - z_2}{\zeta - 1} \text{Id} \right)^{-1}$$

the result follows. $\square$

2.1. Exact WKB solutions. Here we recall the construction of a solution of (1.2) in a complex domain as a convergent series. Since V is real analytic and periodic, it follows that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that V extends to a holomorphic function in the strip

$$(2.1) \quad D = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im } x| < \delta\}.$$

The exact WKB solutions of systems of type (1.2) are known to be of the form

$$(2.2) \quad u^\pm(x, h) = e^{\pm z(x)/h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Q(z(x)) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{(1 \pm 1)/2} w^\pm(x, h),$$

see [6]. The function $z(x)$ is the complex change of coordinates

$$(2.3) \quad z(x) = z(x; x_0) = i \int_{x_0}^x \sqrt{V(t)^2 + \lambda^2} dt,$$

where x_0 is a base point in D . Here, $z(x)$ is defined on the Riemann surface of $(V^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2}$ over D , and Q is the matrix valued function

$$(2.4) \quad Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} H(z)^{-1} & H(z)^{-1} \\ iH(z) & -iH(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } H(z(x)) = \left(\frac{iV(x) + \lambda}{iV(x) - \lambda} \right)^{1/4}$$

defined on the Riemann surface of $H(z(\cdot))$ over D . These Riemann surfaces are defined by introducing branch cuts emanating from the zeros of $x \mapsto \det(M(x, \lambda))$, i.e., of $iV \pm \lambda$ (the turning points of the system (1.2)), see Section 4.

The amplitude vectors $w^\pm$ in (2.2) are defined as the (formal) series

$$(2.5) \quad w^\pm(x, h) = \begin{pmatrix} w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h) \\ w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} w_{2n}^\pm(z(x)) \\ w_{2n+1}^\pm(z(x)) \end{pmatrix},$$

¹Actually, [2, Proposition 2.20] concerns systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ but the claim follows by inspection of the proof together with the fact that symbols in $S(1)$ yield bounded operators on $L^2([0, 2\pi], \mathbb{C}^n)$ by (an extension of) [14, Theorem 5.5].

where $w_0^\pm(z) \equiv 1$, while $w_j^\pm(z)$ for $j \geq 1$ are the unique solutions to the scalar transport equations

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz} \pm \frac{2}{h} \right) w_{2n+1}^\pm(z) = \frac{dH(z)/dz}{H(z)} w_{2n}^\pm(z),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{d}{dz} w_{2n+2}^\pm(z) = \frac{dH(z)/dz}{H(z)} w_{2n+1}^\pm(z)$$

with prescribed initial conditions $w_n^\pm(\tilde{z}) = 0$ for some choice of base point $\tilde{z} = z(\tilde{x})$ where $\tilde{x}$ is not a turning point. Here d/dz is defined through the chain rule, e.g.,

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dx} H(z(x)) = \frac{dH(z)}{dz} z'(x).$$

Note that these equations are the same as those obtained by an exact WKB construction for scalar Schrödinger equations [9, 13]. When we want to signify the dependence on the base point $\tilde{z} = z(\tilde{x})$ we write

$$w^\pm(x, h; \tilde{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h; \tilde{x}) \\ w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h; \tilde{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for the amplitude vectors.

Let Ω be a simply connected open subset of D , free from turning points. Then $z = z(x)$ is conformal from Ω onto $z(\Omega)$. For fixed $h > 0$, the formal series (2.5) converges uniformly in a neighborhood of the amplitude base point $\tilde{x}$, and $w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h)$ and $w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h)$ are analytic functions in Ω , see [6, Lemma 3.2]. It follows that the functions $u^\pm$ given by (2.2) are exact solutions of (1.2). They shall henceforth be written as

$$(2.9) \quad u^\pm(x; x_0, \tilde{x})$$

to indicate the particular choice of amplitude base point $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$, and phase base point $x_0 \in D$ as it appears in (2.3). Note that these solutions are defined for example everywhere on $\mathbb{R}$, although some of the expressions involved are only defined on Riemann surfaces of $(V^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2}$ or $H(z(\cdot))$.

For fixed $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$, let $\Omega_\pm$ be the set of points x for which there is a path $\Gamma(\tilde{x}, x)$ from $\tilde{x}$ to x along which $t \mapsto \pm \operatorname{Re} z(t)$ is strictly increasing. In other words, $x \in \Omega_\pm$ if there is a path $\Gamma(\tilde{x}, x)$ which intersects the *Stokes lines* (i.e., the level curves of $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} z(t)$) transversally in the appropriate direction. The calculation of the quantization condition will rely on the following asymptotic properties.

Remark 2.2. For any $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\partial^k (w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h) - \sum_0^N w_{2n}^\pm(z(x))) = O(h^{N+1}),$$

$$\partial^k (w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h) - \sum_0^N w_{2n+1}^\pm(z(x))) = O(h^{N+2}),$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega_\pm$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, see [6, Proposition 3.3]. In particular,

$$w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h) = 1 + O(h), \quad w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h) = O(h),$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$.

2.2. The Wronskian formula. For vector valued solutions u and v of (1.2), we introduce the *Wronskian*

$$\mathcal{W}(u, v)(x) = \det(u(x) \ v(x)).$$

Since the trace of the matrix $M(x, \lambda)$ is zero, $\mathcal{W}(u, v)$ is actually independent of x . For a phase base point $x_0 \in D$ and different amplitude base points $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \Omega$, elementary computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(u^+(x; x_0, \tilde{x}), u^-(x; x_0, \tilde{y})) \\ = -4i(w_{\text{odd}}^+(x, h; \tilde{x})w_{\text{odd}}^-(x, h; \tilde{y}) - w_{\text{even}}^+(x, h; \tilde{x})w_{\text{even}}^-(x, h; \tilde{y})), \end{aligned}$$

where $u^\pm$ are given by (2.2) via (2.9), and we used the fact that $\det(Q) = -2i$. Since the Wronskian is independent of x , we can choose $x = \tilde{y}$, which in view of the initial conditions of the transport equations (2.6)–(2.7) means that the expression above reduces to

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{W}(u^+(x; x_0, \tilde{x}), u^-(x; x_0, \tilde{y})) = 4iw_{\text{even}}^+(\tilde{y}, h; \tilde{x}).$$

We may of course also choose $x = \tilde{x}$, thus obtaining

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{W}(u^+(x; x_0, \tilde{x}), u^-(x; x_0, \tilde{y})) = 4iw_{\text{even}}^-(\tilde{x}, h; \tilde{y}).$$

In particular, we see that if there is a path $\Gamma(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ from $\tilde{x}$ to $\tilde{y}$ along which the function $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} z(t)$ is strictly increasing, then $\mathcal{W}(u^+(x; x_0, \tilde{x}), u^-(x; x_0, \tilde{y})) = 4i + O(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ by Remark 2.2. This shows that such a pair of solutions is linearly independent if h is sufficiently small.

We shall also need the following formula, obtained by elementary computations, for pairs of solutions of the same type:

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(u^\pm(x; x_0, \tilde{x}), u^\pm(x; x_0, \tilde{y})) &= -4i(-1)^{(1\pm 1)/2} e^{\pm 2z(x; x_0)/h} \\ &\times (w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h; \tilde{x})w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h; \tilde{y}) - w_{\text{even}}^\pm(x, h; \tilde{y})w_{\text{odd}}^\pm(x, h; \tilde{x})). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we can choose $x = x_\pm$ so that $\pm \operatorname{Re} z(x_\pm; x_0) < 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{W}(u^\pm(x; x_0, \tilde{x}), u^\pm(x; x_0, \tilde{y})) = O(e^{-c/h})$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ for some $c > 0$.

2.3. Conjugation. Let $\bar{x}$ denote the scalar complex conjugate of $x \in \mathbb{C}$. For a matrix $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m)$, let $\bar{A}$ denote the matrix with complex conjugated entries, so that $A^* = {}^t\bar{A}$ is the conjugate transpose (adjoint). For clarity we shall in this subsection write $u(x, \lambda)$ for a solution to (1.2). By using (2.2) and (2.6)–(2.7) it is straightforward to check (cf. the proof of Lemma 5.4 below) that the WKB solutions enjoy the symmetry property

$$(2.13) \quad u^\pm(x; x_0, y_0, \lambda) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{u^\mp(\bar{x}; \bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0, \bar{\lambda})}.$$

This implies that it suffices to study the spectral problem $P(h)u = \lambda u$ for spectral parameter λ with nonnegative imaginary part.

Lemma 2.3. *The set of eigenvalues of $P(h)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis.*

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue with 2π -periodic eigenvector $u = u(x, \lambda)$. Introduce a pair of linearly independent WKB solutions $u^\pm(x, \lambda)$. Since the solution space of (1.2) is 2-dimensional we have $u(x, \lambda) = c_1 u^+(x, \lambda) + c_2 u^-(x, \lambda)$, $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Set

$$v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{u(x, \lambda)}.$$

Then v is 2π -periodic. Moreover, (2.13) implies that $v(x) = \bar{c}_1 u^-(x, \bar{\lambda}) + \bar{c}_2 u^+(x, \bar{\lambda})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $P(h)v = \bar{\lambda}v$, which completes the proof. $\square$

2.4. Periodic solutions. As a final preparation, we record a tractable condition for the existence of a nontrivial periodic solution of (1.2), i.e., an eigenvector of $P(h)$ corresponding to λ .

Proposition 2.4. *Let u and v be a pair of linearly independent solutions of (1.2), and set $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x - 2\pi)$ and $\tilde{v}(x) = v(x - 2\pi)$. Let T be the transition matrix given by*

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} u & v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} & \tilde{v} \end{pmatrix} T,$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \end{pmatrix}$ is the 2×2 system with columns u and v . Then $\det(T) = 1$, and the existence of a nontrivial periodic solution of (1.2) is equivalent to the condition

$$(2.15) \quad \operatorname{tr}(T) = 2.$$

Proof. Taking the determinant of both sides of (2.14) we get

$$\mathcal{W}(u, v) = \mathcal{W}(u(\cdot - 2\pi), v(\cdot - 2\pi)) \det(T),$$

and since the Wronskian is independent of x it follows that $\det(T) = 1$. Hence, (2.15) is equivalent to $\operatorname{tr}(T) = \det(T) + 1$, i.e., $\det(T - \operatorname{Id}) = 0$, which holds if and only if $Tc = c$ for some vector $c \neq 0$. If $Tc = c$ then a simple computation shows that $x \mapsto (u(x) \ v(x))c$ is nontrivial and 2π -periodic. Conversely, if U is a nontrivial 2π -periodic solution then U can be expressed as a linear combination $U = c_1 u + c_2 v$, and the same computation as before shows that $c = {}^t(c_1, c_2)$ satisfies $Tc = c$. $\square$

3. EIGENVALUES IN THE ABSENCE OF REAL TURNING POINTS

Here we prove Theorem 1.1 by computing the trace of the transition matrix T introduced above, then applying (2.15) and analyzing the result. We fix λ_0 satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, then $V(x)^2 + \lambda_0^2 > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and there are no turning points on the real axis. Choose a determination of

$$z(x; x_0) = z(x; x_0, \lambda_0) = i \int_{x_0}^x \sqrt{V(t)^2 + \lambda_0^2} dt$$

by picking the branch of the square root satisfying $(V(x)^2 + \lambda_0^2)^{1/2} > 0$ at $x = 0$. Clearly, $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so the real axis is a Stokes line. Since Stokes lines cannot intersect (see e.g. [5]) we find by restricting to a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}$ (which in particular should contain no turning points) that the Stokes lines are essentially parallel to the real axis there. Recall that away from turning points, the configuration of Stokes lines depends continuously on the parameter λ . Hence, we can find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon$ then there is a turning-point-free neighborhood of the real axis in which the imaginary axis is still transversal to the tangent vectors of any Stokes line. From now on, we fix $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$. We also choose ε so small that $(V(x)^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2}$ has positive real part




FIGURE 1. The configuration of Stokes lines for $V(x) = \cos x$, with legends describing the size of $\operatorname{Re} z(x; 0, \lambda)$ for $\lambda = 1$ (left panel) and $\lambda = 1 + 10^{-1}i$ (right panel).

at $x = 0$. This gives a determination of $z(x)$ for this choice of λ which is consistent with the determination of $z(x; x_0, \lambda_0)$ above.

Recall that the number of Stokes lines starting from a turning point x_0 of order n is $n + 2$. If $W(x) = -V(x)^2$, the Stokes lines starting from x_0 have argument

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\pi/3 - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Arg} W'(x_0) \bmod 2\pi/3 \text{ if } x_0 \text{ is simple and} \\ &\pi/4 - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Arg} W''(x_0) \bmod \pi/2 \text{ if } x_0 \text{ is double,} \end{aligned}$$

see the study by Gérard and Grigis [9, p. 152].

Example 3.1. Let $V(x) = \cos x$. For $\lambda > 0$, the turning points are simple, and can be found by solving $\zeta + \zeta^{-1} = 2i(-1)^j \lambda$ for $j = 1, 2$, where $\zeta = e^{ix}$. Upon taking logarithms we get

$$x_{\pm}^{(j)} = \pm \left(\pi/2 - i(-1)^j \log \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2} \right) \right) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Here, $x_+^{(1)}$ and $x_-^{(2)}$ lie in the upper half plane, and $x_-^{(1)}$ and $x_+^{(2)}$ in the lower, and it is easy to check that

$$\operatorname{Arg} W'(x_{\pm}^{(j)}) = \pm(-1)^j \pi/2.$$

Hence, Stokes lines starting from turning points in the upper half plane have arguments $\pi/2 \bmod 2\pi/3$. Stokes lines starting from turning points in the lower half plane have arguments $-\pi/2 \bmod 2\pi/3$. Figure 1 shows the configuration of Stokes lines for $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 1 + 10^{-1}i$.

Note that if we let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ along the real line in Example 3.1, then the turning points collapse onto the real line to form double turning points, so that case is excluded from Theorem 1.1. For example, $x_+^{(1)}$ and $x_+^{(2)}$ collapse to $\pi/2$. The Stokes lines starting from the resulting turning points have argument $0 \bmod \pi/2$.

We now return to the general situation treated in Theorem 1.1. For x near 0 we get by Taylor's formula

$$(3.2) \quad z(x; x_0) - z(0; x_0) = ix(V(0)^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2}(1 + g(x)),$$

where g is analytic and $g(0) = 0$. Moreover, $(V(0)^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2}$ is approximately real by assumption. Hence, for x near 0 we have $\operatorname{Re} z(x) \simeq \operatorname{Re} z(0) - \operatorname{Im} x$, showing that $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $\operatorname{Im} x$ for x near 0. This remains true in a small tubular neighborhood of the real axis, since there, any line parallel to the

imaginary axis is transversal to the Stokes lines. The reader is asked to compare with Figure 1.

Let y_0 be an amplitude base point in the upper half plane near the real axis with $\operatorname{Re} y_0 = 0$, and let $\bar{y}_0$ be the complex conjugate. We will choose phase base points on the real line. With the previous discussion in mind, and because we want our WKB solutions to have asymptotic formulas valid in intersecting domains, we introduce the four WKB solutions

$$\begin{aligned} u_0^+(x) &= u^+(x; 0, y_0), & u_1^+(x) &= u^+(x; 2\pi, y_0 + 2\pi), \\ u_0^-(x) &= u^-(x; 0, \bar{y}_0), & u_1^-(x) &= u^-(x; 2\pi, \bar{y}_0 + 2\pi), \end{aligned}$$

defined in accordance with (2.9). Inspecting the definition (cf. (2.6)–(2.7)) we see that $u_1^\pm(x) = u_0^\pm(x - 2\pi)$, so Proposition 2.4 is applicable.

Proposition 3.2. *Let T be the transition matrix defined by $(u_0^+ \ u_0^-) = (u_1^+ \ u_1^-)T$ and let I denote the action integral $I(\lambda) = \int_0^{2\pi} (V(t)^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2} dt$. Then*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI/h} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $t_{11}t_{22} - t_{12}t_{21} = 1$. Moreover, $t_{jj} = 1 + r_j(\lambda, h)$ where r_j depends holomorphically on λ , and $r_j = O(h)$ while $t_{12}, t_{21} = O(e^{-\delta/h})$ for some $\delta > 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Introduce the auxiliary solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1^+(x) &= u^+(x; 0, y_0 + 2\pi), \\ \tilde{u}_1^-(x) &= u^-(x; 0, \bar{y}_0 + 2\pi), \end{aligned}$$

which differ from u_1^+ and u_1^- only in the choice of phase base point. If $\tilde{T}$ is the transition matrix defined by $(u_0^+ \ u_0^-) = (\tilde{u}_1^+ \ \tilde{u}_1^-)\tilde{T}$, then a simple computation gives

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI/h} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{T},$$

with I given above.

We next determine $\tilde{T} = (t_{ij})$, noting that $t_{11}t_{22} - t_{12}t_{21} = 1$ since $\det(T) = 1$ by Proposition 2.4. Taking Wronskians we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_{11} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(u_0^+, \tilde{u}_1^-)}{W(\tilde{u}_1^+, \tilde{u}_1^-)}, & t_{12} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(u_0^-, \tilde{u}_1^-)}{W(\tilde{u}_1^+, \tilde{u}_1^-)}, \\ t_{21} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, u_0^+)}{W(\tilde{u}_1^+, \tilde{u}_1^-)}, & t_{22} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, u_0^-)}{W(\tilde{u}_1^+, \tilde{u}_1^-)}. \end{aligned}$$

We first compute $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, \tilde{u}_1^-)$. By the properties of $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$, we can find a curve from $y_0 + 2\pi$ (in the upper half plane) to $\bar{y}_0 + 2\pi$ (in the lower half plane) along which $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is strictly increasing. Evaluating the Wronskian at $\bar{y}_0 + 2\pi$ (see (2.10)) we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, \tilde{u}_1^-) = 4iw_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_0 + 2\pi, h; y_0 + 2\pi),$$

which is $4i + O(h)$ by Remark 2.2. Since we can also find curves from y_0 to $\bar{y}_0 + 2\pi$ and from $y_0 + 2\pi$ to $\bar{y}_0$ along which $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is strictly increasing, the same arguments

show that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(u_0^+, \tilde{u}_1^-) &= 4iw_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_0 + 2\pi, h; y_0), \\ \mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, u_0^-) &= 4iw_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_0, h; y_0 + 2\pi),\end{aligned}$$

and both are equal to $4i + O(h)$. Since w_{even}^+ depends analytically on λ we find that $t_{jj} = 1 + r_j$, where $r_j = r_j(\lambda, h)$ is analytic in λ and $r_j = O(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

For $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, u_0^+)$ and $\mathcal{W}(u_0^-, \tilde{u}_1^-)$, we use the Wronskian formula (2.12) for solutions of the same type. For $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_1^+, u_0^+)$, we evaluate (2.12) at the point $x_1 = i\eta$ above 0 for some small $\eta > 0$. Then $\operatorname{Re} z(x_1; 0) < \operatorname{Re} z(0; 0) = 0$, so $e^{z(x_1; 0)/h}$ is exponentially decreasing as $h \rightarrow 0$. For $\mathcal{W}(u_0^-, \tilde{u}_1^-)$, we evaluate (2.12) at the point $\bar{x}_1 = -i\eta$ below 0. Then $\operatorname{Re} -z(\bar{x}_1; 0) < \operatorname{Re} z(0; 0) = 0$, so $e^{-z(\bar{x}_1; 0)/h}$ is exponentially decreasing as $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$t_{12}, t_{21} = O(e^{-\delta/h}), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

for some $\delta > 0$, which completes the proof. $\square$

End of Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.4 it follows that $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ is an eigenvalue of $P(h)$ if and only if $\operatorname{tr}(T) = 2$, i.e.,

$$e^{iI/h} t_{11} - 2 + e^{-iI/h} t_{22} = 0,$$

which is easily seen to yield (1.4). Multiplying with $e^{iI/h}/t_{11}$ and completing the square, an elementary computation using $t_{11}t_{22} - t_{12}t_{21} = 1$ gives

$$\left(e^{iI/h} - \frac{1+ic}{t_{11}}\right) \left(e^{iI/h} - \frac{1-ic}{t_{11}}\right) = 0,$$

where $c = (t_{12}t_{21})^{1/2}$. Hence, $e^{iI/h} = 1 + O(h)$. Taking logarithms we conclude that there is an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$iI/h - 2\pi ik = \log(1 + O(h)) = O(h).$$

This gives the desired quantization condition (1.5). $\square$

4. EIGENVALUES IN THE PRESENCE OF REAL TURNING POINTS

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2, and we let $\lambda_0 = i\mu_0$ with $\mu_0 \in (V_1, V_0)$ be fixed. By assumption, all turning points for λ_0 are simple. As in Section 3 we begin by describing the configuration of Stokes lines for λ_0 before turning to general parameter values λ close to λ_0 .

4.1. Turning points. As described in the introduction there are $2l$ turning points

$$0 < x_1(\mu_0) < \dots < x_{2l}(\mu_0) < 2\pi.$$

Since $V(0) = V_0$ is a local maximum, $x_1(\mu_0)$ must be a simple zero of $V - \mu_0$. Thus, $V'(x_1(\mu_0)) < 0$ and by (3.1) we have

$$\operatorname{Arg} W'(x_1(\mu_0)) = \operatorname{Arg} [-2\mu_0 V'(x_1(\mu_0))] = 0,$$

so the Stokes lines starting at $x_1(\mu_0)$ have arguments $\pi/3 \bmod 2\pi/3$. Basic calculus shows that $\operatorname{Arg} W'(x_2(\mu_0)) = \pi$, so the Stokes lines starting at $x_2(\mu_0)$ have arguments $0 \bmod 2\pi/3$. A moments reflection shows that this pattern repeats itself, with the Stokes lines starting at odd numbered turning points having arguments $\pi/3 \bmod 2\pi/3$, and the Stokes lines starting at even numbered turning points having arguments $0 \bmod 2\pi/3$; by periodicity, this includes the last turning point

$x_{2l}(\mu_0)$ on $(0, 2\pi)$. In particular, we see that there are bounded Stokes lines lying on $\mathbb{R}$ starting from even numbered turning points (on the left) and ending at odd numbered turning points (on the right). However, note that this is not a stable configuration and will not persist when λ_0 is perturbed off the imaginary axis.

We take

$$z(x; x_j(\mu_0), \lambda_0) = i \int_{x_j(\mu_0)}^x (V(t)^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2} dt, \quad \lambda_0 = i\mu_0,$$

where the choice of turning point $x_j(\mu_0)$ will depend on the domain of interest, see (4.2)–(4.3) below. We define the Riemann surfaces of $z(x)$ and $H(z(x))$ over D by introducing branch cuts from odd numbered turning points along the Stokes lines with argument $-\pi/3$, and from even numbered turning points along the Stokes lines with argument $2\pi/3$. We choose branches so that

$$H(z(0); \lambda_0) = \left(\frac{V(0) + \mu_0}{V(0) - \mu_0} \right)^{1/4} > 0, \quad \lambda_0 = i\mu_0.$$

Since $V(0) \pm \mu_0 > 0$, this also gives a determination of $(V^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2}$ and therefore of $z(x)$, namely, $(V^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2} > 0$ at the origin. By applying (3.2) with $\lambda_0 = i\mu_0$ we see that $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $\operatorname{Im} x$ for x near 0. This determines the behavior of $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ in any simply connected open set that intersects the imaginary axis, does not contain any turning points, and does not pass through a branch cut. In particular, there is also for each $j \geq 1$ a region between $x_{2j}(\mu_0)$ and $x_{2j+1}(\mu_0)$ where $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $\operatorname{Im} x$.

When λ_0 is perturbed through rotation around the origin, the turning points are rotated around points on the real axis, e.g., x_1 and x_2 are rotated around the mid point $(x_1 + x_2)/2$, see [7]. Each bounded Stokes line lying on $\mathbb{R}$ will then split into two unbounded Stokes lines, but with the topology of the Stokes configuration otherwise unchanged. If the perturbation is allowed to continue, then at a rotation angle of around $\pi/4$ new bounded Stokes lines will appear between simple turning points, and these lines will coincide with the integration paths of the action integrals $S_j(\mu)$ defined by (1.6). The first change is not significant for the proof of Theorem 1.2, while the second change completely alters the behavior of $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ and is *not* permitted. From now on we therefore fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the integration paths of $S_j(\mu)$ are not bounded Stokes lines when $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$, and we make sure that

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0) \implies \operatorname{Re} S_j(\mu) > 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l.$$

We also take ε so small that if $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ is purely imaginary, then the turning points are simple and the Stokes configuration is the same as for λ_0 ; in particular this means that $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ does not contain 0 and iV_0 . Moreover, the arguments of Stokes lines at the turning points will be almost unchanged, so we place branch cuts as described for $\lambda = \lambda_0$, modified in the obvious manner. We also use the inherited determination of $H(z(\cdot))$ and $(V^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2}$, namely the one which has positive real part at the origin. Figure 2 illustrates the configuration of Stokes lines near three consecutive turning points, including the location of branch cuts.

4.2. The transition matrix. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, the transition matrix T , as defined in Proposition 2.4, will consist of a product of intermediate transition matrices. These matrices will be of (at most) four types, which we now describe.




FIGURE 2. The configuration of Stokes lines and the behavior of $\operatorname{Re} z(x; x_1, \lambda)$ for $V(x) = \cos x$, where $\lambda = i\mu$ and x_1 satisfies $\operatorname{Re} x_1 > 0$, $\cos x_1 = \mu$ (the turning point in the middle). The top panel describes the situation for $\mu = 1/2$ and the bottom panel for $\mu = 1/2 + i/10$. Branch cuts are located along (the curved edges of) the white regions.

Let $\lambda = i\mu \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$. We fix amplitude base points $y_1, \dots, y_l \in D$ in the upper half plane independent of λ as in Figure 3 in such a way that $x_{2j}(\mu) < \operatorname{Re} y_j < x_{2j+1}(\mu)$ and so that y_j is always in the same region bounded by Stokes lines when λ varies in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$. We then set $y_0 = y_l - 2\pi$. Introduce the WKB solutions

$$(4.2) \quad u_j^+(x) = u^+(x; x_{2j+1}(\mu), y_j), \quad u_j^-(x) = u^-(x; x_{2j+1}(\mu), \bar{y}_j),$$

defined for $j = 0, \dots, l$ in accordance with (2.9), where $u_l^\pm(x) = u_0^\pm(x - 2\pi)$. The transition from the pair u_{j-1}^+, u_{j-1}^- to the pair u_j^+, u_j^- is one of the following four kinds:

- 1°. Both $x_{2j-1}(\mu)$ and $x_{2j}(\mu)$ are zeros of $V(x) - \mu$.
- 2°. $x_{2j-1}(\mu)$ is a zero of $V(x) - \mu$ and $x_{2j}(\mu)$ is a zero of $V(x) + \mu$.
- 3°. $x_{2j-1}(\mu)$ is a zero of $V(x) + \mu$ and $x_{2j}(\mu)$ is a zero of $V(x) - \mu$.
- 4°. Both $x_{2j-1}(\mu)$ and $x_{2j}(\mu)$ are zeros of $V(x) + \mu$.

That there are no other kinds follows from the fact that since $V(0) = V_0$, the nature of the zeros x_{2j-1} and x_{2j} also determines the nature of x_{2j+1} , e.g., if both x_{2j-1} and x_{2j} are zeros of $V(x) - \mu$ then so is x_{2j+1} . Introduce also the auxiliary solutions

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{u}_j^+(x) = u^+(x; x_{2j}(\mu), y_j), \quad \tilde{u}_j^-(x) = u^-(x; x_{2j}(\mu), \bar{y}_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

Let T_j (resp. $\tilde{T}_j$) be the transition matrix between u_{j-1}^+, u_{j-1}^- and u_j^+, u_j^- (resp. between u_{j-1}^+, u_{j-1}^- and $\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-$):

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_{j-1}^+ & u_{j-1}^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_j^+ & u_j^- \end{pmatrix} T_j, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_{j-1}^+ & u_{j-1}^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_j^+ & \tilde{u}_j^- \end{pmatrix} \tilde{T}_j.$$




FIGURE 3. The location of amplitude base points relative the neighboring turning points $x_k(\mu)$ over a partial period for generic V and $\lambda = i\mu \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$. Branch cuts are indicated by dashed lines.

For each for $j = 1, \dots, l$ it is clear that $\tilde{T}_j$ is of the same transition type as T_j . The transition matrix T as defined in Proposition 2.4 is given by

$$(4.5) \quad T = T_l \cdots T_1.$$

Example 4.1. In the case where $V(x) = \cos x$ and $\lambda = i\mu$ with $\mu \in (0, 1)$, there are four turning points (i.e. $l = 2$), and $T = T_2 T_1$. The matrix T_1 is of type 2° and T_2 is of type 3° . In the case where $V(x) = \frac{1}{3}(2 + \cos x)$ and $\lambda = i\mu$ with $\mu \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$, there are two turning points (i.e. $l = 1$), and $T = T_1$. The matrix T_1 is of type 1° .

Recall the definition of the action integrals $I_j(\mu)$ in (1.6). By straightforward computation we immediately obtain the following relationship between T_j and $\tilde{T}_j$.

Lemma 4.2.

$$T_j = \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI_j/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI_j/h} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{T}_j.$$

We now describe the different types of transition matrices.

Theorem 4.3. *If T_j is of type m° , then*

$$T_j(\lambda, h) = e^{S_j(\mu)/h} \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI_j/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI_j/h} \end{pmatrix} (E_m + R_j(\lambda, h)),$$

where the matrix $R_j(\lambda, h)$ depends analytically on λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ for some positive ε and is uniformly of $O(h)$ there as $h \rightarrow 0$, and

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We postpone the proof of Theorem 4.3 to Section 5, where it will be an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 and Theorems 5.6–5.8 together with Lemma 4.2.

4.3. Computing the trace. By (4.5), T is a product of matrices of transitions $1^\circ - 4^\circ$, the precise nature of which depends on the potential V . However, in a sense made precise below, the trace of T does not. Note that there are some restrictions on the possible factors in a product of intermediate transition matrices such as (4.5): if we for the moment write $T_j^{(m)}$ to indicate that T_j is a transition matrix of type m° , then (4.5) cannot contain any of the factors

$$T_{j+1}^{(1)} T_j^{(4)}, \quad T_{j+1}^{(4)} T_j^{(1)}, \quad T_{j+1}^{(3)} T_j^{(1)}, \quad T_{j+1}^{(1)} T_j^{(2)}, \quad T_{j+1}^{(2)} T_j^{(4)}, \quad T_{j+1}^{(4)} T_j^{(3)}$$

and by periodicity it must contain an equal number of matrices of transitions 2° and 3° .

Proposition 4.4. *For $l \geq 1$ let T be given by (4.5). Then*

$$\mathrm{tr}(T) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^l S_j/h\right) \left(2^l \prod_{j=1}^l \cos(I_j/h) + r(\lambda, h)\right),$$

where $r(\lambda, h)$ depends analytically on λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and is uniformly of $O(h)$ there as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. For $k \leq j$ we let $g(j, k) = 2^{j-k} \prod_{n=k}^{j-1} \cos(I_n/h)$ with the convention that $g(j, j) = 1$, and set

$$A_\pm(j, k) = g(j, k) \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI_j/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI_j/h} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm i \\ \mp i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of Theorem 4.3, the result follows if we show that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI_l/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI_l/h} \end{pmatrix} E_{m_l} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI_1/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI_1/h} \end{pmatrix} E_{m_1} = A_\pm(l, 1).$$

It is straightforward to check that for each $k \leq n \leq j-1$ we have

$$g(j, n+1) \cdot 2 \cos(I_n/h) \cdot g(n, k) = g(j, k),$$

and that as a result thereof

$$(4.7) \quad A_\pm(j, n+1) A_\pm(n, k) = A_\pm(j, k), \quad k \leq n \leq j-1.$$

Now, (4.6) is clearly true when $l = 1$, since E_{m_1} is necessarily of type 1° or 4° then, i.e., $m_1 = 1$ or $m_1 = 4$. When all the E_{m_j} are of type 1° , it is easy to see that (4.6) holds with $A_+(l, 1)$ on the right by using induction with respect to l and applying (4.7) with $j = l$, $n = l-1$ and $k = 1$. If all the E_{m_j} are of type 4° one obtains (4.6) with $A_-(l, 1)$ on the right in the same way.

It remains to prove (4.6) when $\{E_{m_1}, \dots, E_{m_l}\}$ contains at least one pair of matrices of type 2° and 3° . Write

$$F_j = \begin{pmatrix} e^{iI_j/h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iI_j/h} \end{pmatrix} E_{m_j},$$

and say that F_j is of type m° if $m_j = m$. Since the trace is invariant under cyclic permutations, we may without loss of generality assume that F_1 is of type 2° . To the left of F_1 there must be a block of type 4° matrices of length $k-1 \geq 0$, followed by a type 3° matrix. If $k \geq 2$ then the first paragraph shows that this block $F_k \cdots F_2$ of type 4° matrices is equal to $A_-(k, 2)$. It is then easy to see that

$$F_{k+1} F_k \cdots F_2 F_1 = F_{k+1} A_-(k, 2) F_1 = A_+(k+1, 1).$$

Now, to the left of this block there can be a block of type 1° matrices of length ≥ 0 , followed by another block of the same kind as $F_{k+1} \cdots F_1$ of length ≥ 0 , and this is repeated a finite number of times until the left-hand side of (4.6) is exhausted. But since both types of blocks have already been treated, (4.6) follows by virtue of (4.7). $\square$

End of Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let T be given by (4.5). By Proposition 2.4, λ is an eigenvalue of $P(h)$ if and only if $\text{tr}(T) = 2$. For $\lambda \in B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$, we thus obtain (1.7) by applying Proposition 4.4. In particular, (4.1) gives

$$\prod_{j=1}^l \cos(I_j/h) = 2^{1-l} \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^l S_j/h\right) + O(h) = O(h).$$

Hence, for some $1 \leq j \leq l$ we must have $\cos(I_j/h) = O(h^{1/l})$, so

$$I_j/h = (\tfrac{1}{2} + k)\pi + O(h^{1/l})$$

which yields the quantization condition (1.8) and the proof is complete. $\square$

5. STRUCTURE RESULTS FOR TRANSITION MATRICES

Here we prove Theorem 4.3 by studying each matrix of transition $1^\circ - 4^\circ$ separately, starting with transition 2° . In view of Lemma 4.2 it suffices to consider the auxiliary transition matrices $\tilde{T}_j$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\tilde{T}_j$ be the transition matrix defined by (4.4). If $\tilde{T}_j$ is of type 2° then*

$$\tilde{T}_j(\lambda, h) = e^{S_j(\mu)/h} \begin{pmatrix} a_j(\lambda, h) & ib_j(\lambda, h) \\ ic_j(\lambda, h) & -d_j(\lambda, h) \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j depend analytically on λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and are there equal to $1 + O(h)$ uniformly as $h \rightarrow 0$, and where $S_j(\mu)$ is the action integral given by (1.6).

Before providing the full details of the proof, which requires some preparation, we briefly sketch the main idea: Write $T_j = (t_{mn})$. Taking Wronskians in (4.4) we get

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} t_{11} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)}{W(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)}, & t_{12} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^-, \tilde{u}_j^-)}{W(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)}, \\ t_{21} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+)}{W(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)}, & t_{22} &= \frac{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^-)}{W(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)$ can easily be computed using (2.10) and then estimated using Remark 2.2. However, in contrast to the case studied in Theorem 1.1, we will not be able to use the Wronskian formula (2.12) for solutions of the same type to handle $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+)$ and $\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^-, \tilde{u}_j^-)$, for these will no longer exhibit the same rapid decay. Instead, we shall express one of the WKB solutions in each Wronskian in the coordinates of a different sheet of the Riemann surface, thereby changing the type from $u^\pm$ to $u^\mp$. We can then use (2.10) to compute the Wronskian as normal, and estimate the result using Remark 2.2. For the computation of $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^-)$, the presence of branch cuts means that although the WKB solutions already are of different type, similar techniques have to be used to ensure that Remark 2.2 is applicable.

The following observations are stated in sufficient generality to be useful in the sequel, but to anchor the discussion we use the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 as starting point, with primary goal of rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^+(x) = u^+(x; x_{2j}, y_j)$ as a solution of type u^- in order to allow for the computation of $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+)$. Let $\mathcal{R}(x_0, \theta)$

denote the operator acting through rotation around x_0 by θ radians, so that, e.g., $\mathcal{R}(0, \theta)x = e^{i\theta}x$. Since $V - \mu$ is analytic it follows that if $V(x_0) - \mu = 0$ then

$$(5.2) \quad V(\mathcal{R}(x_0, 2\pi k)t) - \mu = e^{2i\pi k}(V(t) - \mu), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e., when t is rotated $2\pi k$ radians anticlockwise around x_0 then $V(t) - \mu$ is rotated $2\pi k$ radians anticlockwise around the origin. (Negative k results in clockwise rotation by $2\pi|k|$ radians.) We of course have similar behavior for $V + \mu$ when $V(x_0) + \mu = 0$.

Definition 5.2. Let x_{2j} be a turning point such that $V(x_{2j}) + \mu = 0$ (transitions 2° and 4°). The point over y_j that is obtained when rotating y_j clockwise once around x_{2j} will be denoted by $\hat{y}_j$, i.e.,

$$\hat{y}_j = \mathcal{R}(x_{2j}, -2\pi)y_j.$$

More generally, the sheet reached (from the usual sheet) by entering the cut starting at x_{2j} from the left will be referred to as the $\hat{x}$ -sheet. The point over y_j that is obtained when rotating y_j anticlockwise once around x_{2j} will be denoted by $\check{y}_j$, i.e.,

$$\check{y}_j = \mathcal{R}(x_{2j}, 2\pi)y_j.$$

The sheet reached (from the usual sheet) by entering the cut starting at x_{2j} from the right will be referred to as the $\tilde{x}$ -sheet. If instead $V(x_{2j}) - \mu = 0$ (transitions 1° and 3°) then all directions are to be reversed.

When winding this way around a turning point we always assume that the path is appropriately deformed so as not to be obstructed by other branch cuts. Informally, we think of $\hat{x}$ as lying in the sheet “above” the usual sheet, and $\tilde{x}$ as lying in the sheet “below” the usual sheet. The next lemma describes the relative direction of the branch cut starting at x_{2j-1} .

Lemma 5.3. *If $V(x_{2j-1}) - \mu = 0$ then the $\hat{x}$ -sheet is reached (from the usual sheet) by rotating anticlockwise once around x_{2j-1} , i.e., by entering the cut from the left. The $\tilde{x}$ -sheet is reached (from the usual sheet) by rotating clockwise once around x_{2j-1} . If instead $V(x_{2j-1}) + \mu = 0$ then the directions are reversed. Moreover,*

$$(5.3) \quad H(z(\hat{x})) = -iH(z(x)),$$

$$(5.4) \quad H(z(\tilde{x})) = iH(z(x)).$$

The reason for wanting to reverse the directions in Definition 5.2 when $V(x_{2j}) - \mu = 0$ is to make sure that (5.3)–(5.4) are always in force. In fact, these identities can be taken as definitions of the sheets.

Proof. Assume first that $V(x_{2j}) + \mu = 0$. Fix a point x on the line segment from x_{2j-1} to x_{2j} in the area between the cuts, then $\hat{x} = \mathcal{R}(x_{2j}, -2\pi)x$. When x is rotated -2π radians around x_{2j} , $V(x) + \mu$ is rotated -2π radians around the origin, so

$$H(z(\hat{x})) = \left(\frac{e^{-2\pi i}(V(x) + \mu)}{V(x) - \mu} \right)^{1/4} = -iH(z(x)).$$

If instead $V(x_{2j}) - \mu = 0$, then $\hat{x} = \mathcal{R}(x_{2j}, 2\pi)x$, which by (5.2) again yields

$$H(z(\hat{x})) = \left(\frac{V(x) + \mu}{e^{2\pi i}(V(x) - \mu)} \right)^{1/4} = -iH(z(x)).$$

Hence, (5.3) is still in force. One proves (5.4) in the same way.

Next, suppose that $V(x_{2j-1}) - \mu = 0$. To see that the $\hat{x}$ -sheet is reached by entering the cut starting at x_{2j-1} from the left, take x on the line segment from x_{2j-1} to x_{2j} and note that

$$H(z(\mathcal{R}(x_{2j-1}, 2\pi)x)) = \left(\frac{V(x) + \mu}{e^{2\pi i(V(x) - \mu)}} \right)^{1/4} = -iH(z(x)),$$

thus $H(z(\hat{x})) = H(z(\mathcal{R}(x_{2j-1}, 2\pi)x))$, which means that $\hat{x} = \mathcal{R}(x_{2j-1}, 2\pi)x$. (For a fixed point x , $H(z(\cdot))$ takes distinct values at each of the four points over x .) In the same way one proves the statement concerning the $\check{x}$ -sheet, as well as the reverse statements when $V(x_{2j-1}) + \mu = 0$. We omit the details. $\square$

In order to compute $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+)$ we want to express $\tilde{u}_j^+$ in the coordinates of a different sheet over y_j , and continue the resulting function through the branch cut into the domain of u_{j-1}^+ in the usual sheet (containing the amplitude base point y_{j-1}), so that their domains intersect and (2.10) and Remark 2.2 are applicable. Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 (transition 2°), entering the branch cut starting at x_{2j} from the left leads to the $\hat{x}$ -sheet by Definition 5.2, so this means rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^+$ in the coordinates of the $\hat{x}$ -sheet. (The same is true for transition 4° ; for transitions 1° and 3° it means rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^+$ in the coordinates of the $\check{x}$ -sheet.) Recall that $\tilde{u}_j^+(x) = u^+(x; x_{2j}, y_j)$, which by definition means that for x near y_j in the usual sheet we have

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{u}_j^+(x) = e^{z(x; x_{2j})/h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Q(z(x)) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w^+(x, h; y_j),$$

where

$$z(x) = z(x; x_{2j}) = i \int_{x_{2j}}^x \sqrt{V(t)^2 - \mu^2} dt.$$

The change of variables $s = \mathcal{R}(x_{2j}, -2\pi)t$ gives

$$(5.6) \quad z(x; x_{2j}) = i \int_{x_{2j}}^{\hat{x}} \sqrt{e^{2i\pi(V(s)^2 - \mu^2)} ds} = -z(\hat{x}; x_{2j}).$$

Using the shorthand $z = z(x)$, $\hat{z} = z(\hat{x})$, we have $H(z) = iH(\hat{z})$ by (5.3), so the definition of $Q(z)$ (see (2.4)) gives

$$(5.7) \quad Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} H(z)^{-1} & H(z)^{-1} \\ iH(z) & -iH(z) \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} H(\hat{z})^{-1} & H(\hat{z})^{-1} \\ -iH(\hat{z}) & iH(\hat{z}) \end{pmatrix} = -iQ(\hat{z}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that squaring the rightmost matrix gives the identity matrix.

Next, we express $w^+(x, h; y_j)$ in the coordinates of the $\hat{x}$ -sheet. To this end, let $w_n^\pm(z)$, $n \geq 1$, be a family of solutions to the transport equations (2.6)–(2.7), satisfying the initial conditions $w_n^\pm(z_0) = 0$ at $z_0 = z(y_0)$. Set $f_n^\pm(\hat{z}) = w_n^\pm(z)$, $n \geq 1$. Inspecting (2.6)–(2.7) and using $d/dz = -d/d\hat{z}$, it is easy to check that

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\hat{z}} \mp \frac{2}{h} \right) f_{2n+1}^\pm(\hat{z}) = \frac{dH(\hat{z})/d\hat{z}}{H(\hat{z})} f_{2n}^\pm(\hat{z}),$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{z}} f_{2n+2}^\pm(\hat{z}) = \frac{dH(\hat{z})/d\hat{z}}{H(\hat{z})} f_{2n+1}^\pm(\hat{z})$$

with $f_n^\pm(\hat{z}_0) = 0$ at $\hat{z}_0 = z(\hat{y}_0)$. By uniqueness of solutions it follows that $w_n^\pm(z) = w_n^\mp(\hat{z})$ with $w_n^\mp(z(\hat{y}_0)) = 0$, which, in view of (2.5), implies that

$$(5.8) \quad w^\pm(x, h; y_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} w_{2n}^\pm(z; z_0) \\ w_{2n+1}^\pm(z; z_0) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} w_{2n}^\mp(\hat{z}; \hat{z}_0) \\ w_{2n+1}^\pm(\hat{z}; \hat{z}_0) \end{pmatrix} = w^\mp(\hat{x}, h; \hat{y}_0).$$

In particular, $w^+(x, h; y_j) = w^-(\hat{x}, h; \hat{y}_j)$, which together with (5.5)–(5.7) gives

$$\tilde{u}_j^+(x) = -ie^{-z(\hat{x}; x_{2j})/h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Q(z(\hat{x})) w^+(\hat{x}, h; \hat{y}_j) = -iu^-(\hat{x}; x_{2j}, \hat{y}_j)$$

for x near y_j . This proves the first part of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *For each transition $1^\circ - 4^\circ$, let $\hat{x}$ and $\tilde{x}$ be defined in accordance with Definition 5.2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} u^\pm(x; x_{2j}, y_j) &= -iu^\mp(\hat{x}; x_{2j}, \hat{y}_j) = iu^\mp(\tilde{x}; x_{2j}, \tilde{y}_j), \\ u^\mp(x; x_{2j-1}, \bar{y}_j) &= -iu^\pm(\hat{x}; x_{2j-1}, \hat{\bar{y}}_j) = iu^\pm(\tilde{x}; x_{2j-1}, \tilde{\bar{y}}_j). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The arguments above show that $u^\pm(x; x_{2j}, y_j) = -iu^\mp(\hat{x}; x_{2j}, \hat{y}_j)$. Since $z(\hat{x}) = z(\tilde{x})$, the identity $u^\pm(x; x_{2j}, y_j) = iu^\mp(\tilde{x}; x_{2j}, \tilde{y}_j)$ follows by using the same arguments except with (5.4) used in place of (5.3). It remains to prove the identities for the turning point x_{2j-1} . But since $z(x; x_{2j-1}) = -z(\hat{x}; x_{2j-1})$ (compare with (5.6)) and

$$u^\mp(x; x_{2j-1}, \bar{y}_j) = e^{\mp z(x; x_{2j-1})/h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Q(z(x)) w^\mp(x, h; \bar{y}_j),$$

the first identity is a consequence of (5.7) and (5.8), while the second again follows by similar arguments except with (5.4) used in place of (5.3). $\square$

It will be convenient to record the following observation.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $S_j(\mu)$ be given by (1.6). Then*

$$(5.9) \quad S_j(\mu) = i \int_{x_{2j-1}(\mu)}^{x_{2j}(\mu)} (V(t)^2 - \mu^2)^{1/2} dt.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (5.9) for $\mu = \mu_0$, for then the general result follows by continuity. To evaluate the right-hand side of (5.9) when μ_0 is real, note that the line segment from $x_{2j-1}(\mu_0)$ to $x_{2j}(\mu_0)$ is an interval on the real line. Next, recall that we have chosen a determination of $(V^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2}$ so that it is positive at the origin, or in fact at any point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ in the same sheet as the origin where $V(x)^2 - \mu_0^2 > 0$. In particular, $\text{Arg}(V(s)^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2} = 0$ for real $s < x_{2j-1}$ close to x_{2j-1} . For real $t > x_{2j-1}$ close to x_{2j-1} we write

$$(5.10) \quad (V(s)^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2} = (V(\mathcal{R}(x_{2j-1}, \pi)t)^2 - \mu_0^2)^{1/2} = (e^{i\pi}(V(t)^2 - \mu_0^2))^{1/2}$$

with $s < x_{2j-1}$ as above (rotation in the opposite direction is incorrect since it means passing through a branch cut). Hence, the right-hand side of (5.9) equals

$$i \int_{x_{2j-1}}^{x_{2j}} (e^{-i\pi} e^{i\pi}(V(t)^2 - \mu_0^2))^{1/2} dt = \int_{x_{2j-1}}^{x_{2j}} (e^{i\pi}(V(t)^2 - \mu_0^2))^{1/2} dt$$

where the integrand in the rightmost integral is non-negative by (5.10). The lemma now follows by inspecting the definition of $S_j(\mu_0)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Recalling (5.1), we first compute $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)$. According to the behavior of $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$, there is a curve $\Gamma(y_j, \bar{y}_j)$ from y_j to $\bar{y}_j$ along which $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is strictly increasing. By evaluating the Wronskian at $\bar{y}_j$ (see (2.10)) we get

$$(5.11) \quad \mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-) = 4i w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j),$$

where $w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j) = 1 + O(h)$ by Remark 2.2.

Now consider $\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)$ and note that the phase base points of u_{j-1}^+ and $\tilde{u}_j^-$ differ. We therefore rewrite u_{j-1}^+ as

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{j-1}^+(x) &= \exp \left(i \int_{x_{2j-1}}^{x_{2j}} \sqrt{V(t)^2 - \mu^2} dt/h \right) u^+(x; x_{2j}, y_{j-1}) \\ &= e^{S_j/h} u^+(x; x_{2j}, y_{j-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity follows by virtue of Lemma 5.5. Since we can find a curve $\Gamma(y_{j-1}, \bar{y}_j)$ along which $\operatorname{Re} z(x)$ is strictly increasing we can evaluate the Wronskian at $\bar{y}_j$ (see (2.10)) and get

$$\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^+, \tilde{u}_j^-) = 4ie^{S_j/h} w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_{j-1}),$$

where $w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_{j-1}) = 1 + O(h)$ by Remark 2.2. Thus, by (5.1) and (5.11) we have

$$t_{11} = e^{S_j/h} a_j, \quad a_j = \frac{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_{j-1})}{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j)} = 1 + O(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that a_j depends analytically on λ since this is true for the amplitude functions w_{even}^+ .

We now compute $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+)$. By Lemma 5.4 we have $\tilde{u}_j^+(x) = -iu^-(\hat{x}; x_{2j}, \hat{y}_j)$ for $\hat{x}$ near $\hat{y}_j$. Take the function on the right and continue it through the branch cut starting at x_{2j} into the domain in the usual sheet containing y_{j-1} . We remark that at y_{j-1} it takes the value $-iu^-(y_{j-1}; x_{2j}, \hat{y}_j)$. Similarly, writing $u_{j-1}^+(x) = e^{S_j/h} u^+(x; x_{2j}, y_{j-1})$ as before, we see that $u_{j-1}^+(\hat{y}_j) = e^{S_j/h} u^+(\hat{y}_j; x_{2j}, y_{j-1})$, so by evaluating the Wronskian at $\hat{y}_j$ (see (2.10)) we get

$$\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+) = -\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^+, \tilde{u}_j^+) = -4e^{S_j/h} w_{\text{even}}^+(\hat{y}_j, h; y_{j-1}).$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} z(\hat{x})$ is a strictly increasing function of $\operatorname{Im} \hat{x}$ near $\hat{x} = \hat{y}_j$, we can find a curve from y_{j-1} to $\hat{y}_j$, passing through the branch cut at x_{2j} , along which $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} z(t)$ is strictly increasing (compare with Figure 2). Hence, by (5.1), (5.11) and Remark 2.2 we get

$$t_{21} = ie^{S_j/h} c_j, \quad c_j = \frac{w_{\text{even}}^+(\hat{y}_j, h; y_{j-1})}{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j)} = 1 + O(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us consider $\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^-, \tilde{u}_j^-)$ next. We fix the domain of u_{j-1}^- and express $\tilde{u}_j^-$ in the coordinates of the sheet reached when passing through the branch cut at x_{2j-1} from the left. For transition 2° this is the $\hat{x}$ -sheet according to Definition 5.2. First note that

$$\tilde{u}_j^-(x) = \exp \left(i \int_{x_{2j-1}}^{x_{2j}} \sqrt{V(t)^2 - \mu^2} dt/h \right) u^-(x; x_{2j-1}, \bar{y}_j) = e^{S_j/h} u^-(x; x_{2j-1}, \bar{y}_j),$$

compare with (5.12). Applying Lemma 5.4 we get

$$\tilde{u}_j^-(x) = -ie^{S_j/h} u^+(\hat{x}; x_{2j-1}, \hat{y}_j).$$

We continue the expression on the right through the branch cut at x_{2j-1} , and note that at $\bar{y}_{j-1}$, it takes the value $-ie^{S_j/h}u^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j-1}, \hat{y}_j)$. As above we can find a curve from $\hat{y}_j$ to $\bar{y}_{j-1}$, passing through the branch cut at x_{2j-1} , along which $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} z(t)$ is strictly increasing. Hence, by evaluating the Wronskian at $\bar{y}_{j-1}$ (see (2.10)) we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}(u_{x_{j-1}}^-, \tilde{u}_j^-) = -4e^{S_j/h}w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; \hat{y}_j).$$

In view of (5.1), (5.11) and Remark 2.2 we conclude that

$$t_{12} = ie^{S_j/h}b_j, \quad b_j = \frac{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; \hat{y}_j)}{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j)} = 1 + O(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, let us consider $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{x_{j-1}}^-)$. To get an asymptotic estimate we will need to connect the amplitude base points of $\tilde{u}_j^+$ and $u_{x_{j-1}}^-$ by a curve passing through both the branch cut at x_{2j-1} and the branch cut at x_{2j} . In view of Definition 5.2 this will be possible if we (in the present case of transition 2°) express $\tilde{u}_j^+$ in the coordinates obtained by rotating anticlockwise *twice* around x_{2j} . Let the coordinates thus obtained be denoted $\hat{x}$. Applying Lemma 5.4 two times we get

$$\tilde{u}_j^+(x) = -u^+(\hat{x}; x_{2j}, \hat{y}_j) = -e^{(z(\hat{x}; x_{2j}) - z(\hat{x}; x_{2j-1}))/h}u^+(\hat{x}; x_{2j-1}, \hat{y}_j)$$

for x near y_j . We can find a path from $\hat{y}_j$ to $\bar{y}_{j-1}$ along which $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} z(t)$ is strictly increasing (compare with Figure 2), so evaluating the Wronskian at $\bar{y}_{j-1}$ we get (see (2.10))

$$\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{x_{j-1}}^-) = -4ie^{(z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j}) - z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j-1}))/h}w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; \hat{y}_j),$$

where $w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; \hat{y}_j) = 1 + O(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ by Remark 2.2. Here,

$$(5.13) \quad z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j}) - z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j-1}) = i \left(\int_{x_{2j}}^{\bar{y}_{j-1}} + \int_{\bar{y}_{j-1}}^{x_{2j-1}} \right) \sqrt{V(t)^2 - \mu^2} dt,$$

where the path of integration is homotopic to a curve starting at x_{2j} and following a curve in the $\hat{x}$ -sheet through the branch cut at x_{2j-1} , then arriving at x_{2j-1} via $\bar{y}_{j-1}$. In other words, it is homotopic to the path from x_{2j} to x_{2j-1} in the $\hat{x}$ -sheet. If we rotate back to the usual sheet using (5.2) and then reverse the integration direction, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j}) - z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j-1}) &= i \int_{x_{2j}}^{x_{2j-1}} \sqrt{e^{2i\pi}(V(t)^2 - \mu^2)} dt \\ &= i \int_{x_{2j-1}}^{x_{2j}} \sqrt{V(t)^2 - \mu^2} dt \end{aligned}$$

which by Lemma 5.5 is equal to the action integral S_j given by (1.6). Recalling (5.1), (5.11) we get

$$t_{22} = -e^{S_j/h}d_j, \quad d_j = \frac{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; \hat{y}_{j-1})}{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j)} = 1 + O(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

We now turn to the matrices of transition 1°, 2° and 4°.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\tilde{T}_j$ be the transition matrix defined by (4.4). If $\tilde{T}_j$ is of type 3° then*

$$\tilde{T}_j(\lambda, h) = e^{S_j(\mu)/h} \begin{pmatrix} a_j & -ib_j \\ -ic_j & -d_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j depend analytically on λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and are there equal to $1+O(h)$ uniformly as $h \rightarrow 0$, and where $S_j(\mu)$ is the action integral given by (1.6).

Proof. Write $\tilde{T}_j = (t_{mn})$, then t_{mn} is given by (5.1). The proof continues in almost identical fashion to the proof of Theorem 5.1. The only difference is that when rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^+$ and $\tilde{u}_j^-$ in order to compute the Wronskians $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^+)$ and $\mathcal{W}(u_{j-1}^-, \tilde{u}_j^-)$, respectively, we do so using the coordinates of the $\tilde{x}$ -sheet instead of the $\hat{x}$ -sheet, see the discussion preceding (5.5). In view of Lemma 5.4, this results in the loss of a factor -1 in the formulas for t_{21} and t_{12} . To compute $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^-)$ we apply Lemma 5.4 twice, and since $\tilde{x} = \hat{x}$, this leads to the same calculations as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\tilde{T}_j$ be the transition matrix defined by (4.4). If $\tilde{T}_j$ is of type 1° then*

$$\tilde{T}_j(\lambda, h) = e^{S_j(\mu)/h} \begin{pmatrix} a_j & ib_j \\ -ic_j & d_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j depend analytically on λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and are there equal to $1+O(h)$ uniformly as $h \rightarrow 0$, and where $S_j(\mu)$ is the action integral given by (1.6).

Proof. Write $\tilde{T}_j = (t_{mn})$, then t_{mn} is given by (5.1). The computation of t_{11} is the same as for transitions 2° and 3° . Since the nature of x_{2j-1} is the same as in transition 2° , the computation of t_{12} (rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^-$ by rotating around x_{2j-1}) is the same as for transition 2° . Since the nature of x_{2j} is the same as in transition 3° , the computation of t_{21} (rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^+$ by rotating around x_{2j}) is the same as for transition 3° . For t_{22} , we simply compute $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^-)$ directly from (2.10) by evaluating the Wronskian at $\bar{y}_{j-1}$ (the amplitude base point of u_{j-1}^-) and obtain

$$\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^-) = 4ie^{(z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j}) - z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j-1}))/h} w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; y_j).$$

In fact, for transition 1° there is, in view of Definition 5.2, a path $\Gamma(y_j, \bar{y}_{j-1})$ from y_j to $\bar{y}_{j-1}$ along which $\text{Re } z(x)$ is strictly increasing: starting at y_j in the usual sheet, $\Gamma(y_j, \bar{y}_{j-1})$ goes through the branch cut at x_{2j} from the right (thereby entering the $\hat{x}$ -sheet), then goes through the branch cut at x_{2j-1} from the right, reentering the usual sheet and then ending at $\bar{y}_{j-1}$. In view of the discussion following (5.13), this implies that $z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j}) - z(\bar{y}_{j-1}; x_{2j-1}) = S_j$, which gives

$$t_{22} = \frac{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, u_{j-1}^-)}{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{u}_j^+, \tilde{u}_j^-)} = e^{S_j/h} \frac{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_{j-1}, h; y_j)}{w_{\text{even}}^+(\bar{y}_j, h; y_j)}.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\tilde{T}_j$ be the transition matrix defined by (4.4). If $\tilde{T}_j$ is of type 4° then*

$$\tilde{T}_j(\lambda, h) = e^{S_j(\mu)/h} \begin{pmatrix} a_j & -ib_j \\ ic_j & d_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j depend analytically on λ in $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_0)$ and are there equal to $1+O(h)$ uniformly as $h \rightarrow 0$, and where $S_j(\mu)$ is the action integral given by (1.6).

Proof. Write $\tilde{T}_j = (t_{mn})$, then t_{mn} is given by (5.1). The computations of t_{11} and t_{22} are the same as for transition 1° (except that for t_{22} , the path from y_j to $\bar{y}_{j-1}$ goes via the $\tilde{x}$ -sheet instead), while the computations of t_{12} and t_{21} are reversed: Since the nature of x_{2j-1} is the same as in transition 3° , the computation of t_{12} (rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^-$ by rotating around x_{2j-1}) is the same as for transition 3° . Since the nature of x_{2j} is the same as in transition 2° , the computation of t_{21} (rewriting $\tilde{u}_j^+$ by rotating around x_{2j}) is the same as for transition 2° . $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of Setsuro Fujiié was supported by the JSPS Kakenhi Grant No. JP15K04971. The research of Jens Wittsten was supported by Knut och Alice Wallenbergs Stiftelse Grant No. 2013.0347.

REFERENCES

1. Robert A. Adams and John J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, 2003.
2. Nils Dencker, *The pseudospectrum of systems of semiclassical operators*, Anal. PDE **1** (2008), 323–373.
3. Semyon Dyatlov and Maciej Zworski, *Stochastic stability of Pollicott–Ruelle resonances*, Nonlinearity **28** (2015), no. 10, 3511.
4. Jean Ecalle, *Cinq applications des fonctions résurgentes*, Prépublications mathématiques d’Orsay, Département de mathématique, 1984.
5. Marat A. Evgrafov and Mikhail V. Fedoryuk, *Asymptotic behaviour as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ of the solution of the equation $w''(z) - p(z, \lambda)w(z) = 0$ in the complex z -plane*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **21** (1966), 1–48.
6. Setsuro Fujiié, Caroline Lasser, and Laurence Nédélec, *Semiclassical resonances for a two-level Schrödinger operator with a conical intersection*, Asymptotic Analysis **65** (2009), no. 1–2, 17–58.
7. Setsuro Fujiié and Thierry Ramond, *Matrice de scattering et résonances associées à une orbite hétérocline*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **69** (1998), no. 1, 31–82.
8. S. V. Galtsev and A. I. Shafarevich, *Quantized Riemann surfaces and semiclassical spectral series for a non-self-adjoint Schrödinger operator with periodic coefficients*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **148** (2006), no. 2, 1049–1066.
9. Christian Gérard and Alain Grigis, *Precise estimates of tunneling and eigenvalues near a potential barrier*, Journal of differential equations **72** (1988), no. 1, 149–177.
10. B. Grébert and Thomas Kappeler, *Estimates on periodic and Dirichlet eigenvalues for the Zakharov–Shabat system*, Asymptotic Analysis **25** (2001), no. 3, 4, 201–237.
11. Koki Hirota, *Real eigenvalues of a non-self-adjoint perturbation of the self-adjoint Zakharov–Shabat operator*, preprint, 2017.
12. Tosio Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, vol. 132, Springer Verlag, 1966.
13. Thierry Ramond, *Semiclassical study of quantum scattering on the line*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **177** (1996), no. 1, 221–254.
14. Maciej Zworski, *Semiclassical analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, 2012.

(Setsuro Fujiié) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY, KUSATSU, 525-8577, JAPAN

E-mail address: fujii@fc.ritsumei.ac.jp

(Jens Wittsten) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY, KUSATSU, 525-8577, JAPAN

E-mail address: jensw@maths.lth.se